

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,306		09/29/2003	Thomas J. Long II	K-2026	1515
7.	590	08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Larry R. Meen	nan			FRIDIE JR,	WILLMON
Kennametal Inc	c.				
P.O. Box 231				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Latrobe, PA	15650		3722		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/673,306	LONG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Willmon Fridie	3722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	• •	A LO OET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	0) 0D THIRTY (00) DAYO				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	av 2006.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a). ⁻				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satran et al. in view of Lillie. Satran et al. discloses a rotary cutting tool comprising flutes (5a,5c), insertsl6) and receiving pockets for said inserts and most of the claimed invention except for different angular pocket spacing and different axial/radial spacing. Lillie teaches at column 5,llines 13-34 that it is well known in the art to space the insert pockets at various angles and spacings. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate and space the components of Satran et al. in the claimed manner as taught by Lillie in order to increase the versatility of the tool such that many types of operations can be performed.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3722

Applicant argues again that Lillie neither teaches or suggests the angular spacing of the cutting inserts claimed by applicant. The examiner submits that Lillie discloses that the physical relationships may be varied as deemed necessary found at column 5,:

Referring again to FIGS. 1 and 2, description will hereinafter be made with reference to operation of the subject new rotary cutting tool and the particular advantageous results obtained therefrom. As there shown, the insert receiving pockets in cutting tool body grooves 30,32,34 and 36 are shaped and configured so that the inserts themselves are positioned to faciliate particular cutting characteristics for insert cutting First cutting edges 70 extend axially outward from both second end 14 and second cutting edges 72 are radially spaced outwardly from cutting portion 20. Also, and with reference to FIG. 2, alternating ones of the inserts have their first cutting edges 70 diametrically aligned across body second end 14. As shown in FIGS. 1 and 2, first cutting edges 70 are each positioned to have negative lead, neutral radial rake and positive axial rake angles. Second cutting edges 72 are each positioned to have neutral radial relief and positive axial rake These relationships may be varied as deemed necessary and/or appropriate to adapt the concepts of the invention to other machining environments or applications.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the cutting inserts in the claimed array of rows and columns, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. It clear that Lillie teaches the option of different angular spacings. Further applicant never specifically claims the orientation of the angles relative to any defined point or axis.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/673,306

Art Unit: 3722

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571 272 4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,306

Art Unit: 3722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5